

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/431,519	11/01/1999	SHIH CHUNG	AH0948Q	8808
75	590 03/06/2003			
PALAIYUR S KALYANARAMAN PATENT DEPT K-6-1 1990 2000 GALLOPING HILL ROAD			EXAMINER	
			LEVY, NEIL S	
KENILWORTI	H, NJ 070330530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	TELNOVER DE TOTAL	The second section of the second seco	2773457 (X377)	io.
			EXAMINER	
			ART WIT - 124A WEEF	₹
			22	
			2 NEC 3431- 273	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	or continues to run 2 Marker from the date of the final rejection Notice . A My Rel
4	
b) appires three months from the date of event however, will the statutory period	the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no d for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
The date on which the response, the purposes of determining the period of	ed by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. betition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR if the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, to place the application in condition for all	filed 2/2/63 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed owance:
1. The proposed amendments to the clair	n and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
There is no convincing showing presented.	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
b. They raise new issues that woul	d require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new mat	ter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place appeal.	the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
e. They present additional claims	without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the propose be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to:	ed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will
Claims rejected:	
However; Applicant's response has overcor	me the fallauring rejection(s): 1/2 of 2
Applicant's response has overcor	ne the following rejection(s).
No is elected Classification of the affidavit or exhibit will not be cons	consideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because language to the the consideration because language the first that the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier that the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier that the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier that the considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier that the considered because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because language that the considered but does not overcome the rejection because language that the considered but does not overcome the rejection because language that the considered but does not overcome the rejection because language that the considered but does not overcome the rejection because language that the considered but does not be considered by the considered but does not be considered by the considered but does not be considered by the considered by the considered but does not be considered by the cons
Cherman / -	s has not been approved by the examiner.
Other	NEW CORP.

NEIL & LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER